

...day June 20XX – Morning/Afternoon AS Level History A

Unit Y253 The Cold War in Europe 1941–1995

50

MARK SCHEME

Duration: 1 hour 30 minutes

MAXIMUM MARK

This document consists of 16 pages

MARKING INSTRUCTIONS

PREPARATION FOR MARKING

SCORIS

- 1. Make sure that you have accessed and completed the relevant training packages for on–screen marking: scoris assessor Online Training; OCR Essential Guide to Marking.
- 2. Make sure that you have read and understood the mark scheme and the question paper for this unit. These are posted on the RM Cambridge Assessment Support Portal http://www.rm.com/support/ca
- 3. Log-in to scoris and mark the **required number** of practice responses ("scripts") and the **required number** of standardisation responses.

YOU MUST MARK 10 PRACTICE AND 10 STANDARDISATION RESPONSES BEFORE YOU CAN BE APPROVED TO MARK LIVE SCRIPTS.

TRADITIONAL

Before the Standardisation meeting you must mark at least 10 scripts from several centres. For this preliminary marking you should use **pencil** and follow the **mark scheme**. Bring these **marked scripts** to the meeting.

MARKING

- Mark strictly to the mark scheme.
- 2. Marks awarded must relate directly to the marking criteria.
- 3. The schedule of dates is very important. It is essential that you meet the scoris 50% and 100% (traditional 50% Batch 1 and 100% Batch 2) deadlines. If you experience problems, you must contact your Team Leader (Supervisor) without delay.
- 4. If you are in any doubt about applying the mark scheme, consult your Team Leader by telephone, email or via the scoris messaging system.

- Work crossed out:
 - a. where a candidate crosses out an answer and provides an alternative response, the crossed out response is not marked and gains no marks
 - b. if a candidate crosses out an answer to a whole question and makes no second attempt, and if the inclusion of the answer does not cause a rubric infringement, the assessor should attempt to mark the crossed out answer and award marks appropriately.
- 6. Always check the pages (and additional objects if present) at the end of the response in case any answers have been continued there. If the candidate has continued an answer there then add a tick to confirm that the work has been seen.
- 7. There is a NR (No Response) option. Award NR (No Response)
 - if there is nothing written at all in the answer space
 - OR if there is a comment which does not in any way relate to the question (e.g. 'can't do', 'don't know')
 - OR if there is a mark (e.g. a dash, a question mark) which isn't an attempt at the question.

Note: Award 0 marks – for an attempt that earns no credit (including copying out the question).

- 8. The scoris **comments box** is used by your Team Leader to explain the marking of the practice responses. Please refer to these comments when checking your practice responses. **Do not use the comments box for any other reason.**If you have any questions or comments for your Team Leader, use the phone, the scoris messaging system, or e-mail.
- 9. Assistant Examiners will send a brief report on the performance of candidates to their Team Leader (Supervisor) via email by the end of the marking period. The report should contain notes on particular strengths displayed as well as common errors or weaknesses. Constructive criticism of the question paper/mark scheme is also appreciated.
- 10. For answers marked by levels of response:
 - a. To determine the level start at the highest level and work down until you reach the level that matches the answer
 - b. **To determine the mark within the level**, consider the following:

Descriptor	Award mark
On the borderline of this level and the one	At bottom of level
below	
Just enough achievement on balance for this	Above bottom and either below middle or at middle of level (depending on number of marks
level	available)
Meets the criteria but with some slight	Above middle and either below top of level or at middle of level (depending on number of marks
inconsistency	available)
Consistently meets the criteria for this level	At top of level

11. Annotations

Annotation	Meaning

12. Subject-specific Marking Instructions

INTRODUCTION

Your first task as an Examiner is to become thoroughly familiar with the material on which the examination depends. This material includes:

- the specification, especially the assessment objectives
- the question paper and its rubrics
- the mark scheme.

You should ensure that you have copies of these materials.

You should ensure also that you are familiar with the administrative procedures related to the marking process. These are set out in the OCR booklet **Instructions for Examiners**. If you are examining for the first time, please read carefully **Appendix 5 Introduction to Script Marking: Notes for New Examiners**.

Please ask for help or guidance whenever you need it. Your first point of contact is your Team Leader.

USING THE MARK SCHEME

Please study this Mark Scheme carefully. The Mark Scheme is an integral part of the process that begins with the setting of the question paper and ends with the awarding of grades. Question papers and Mark Schemes are developed in association with each other so that issues of differentiation and positive achievement can be addressed from the very start.

This Mark Scheme is a working document; it is not exhaustive; it does not provide 'correct' answers. The Mark Scheme can only provide 'best guesses' about how the question will work out, and it is subject to revision after we have looked at a wide range of scripts.

The Examiners' Standardisation Meeting will ensure that the Mark Scheme covers the range of candidates' responses to the questions, and that all Examiners understand and apply the Mark Scheme in the same way. The Mark Scheme will be discussed and amended at the meeting, and administrative procedures will be confirmed. Co–ordination scripts will be issued at the meeting to exemplify aspects of candidates' responses and achievements; the co–ordination scripts then become part of this Mark Scheme.

Before the Standardisation Meeting, you should read and mark in pencil a number of scripts, in order to gain an impression of the range of responses and achievement that may be expected.

Please read carefully all the scripts in your allocation and make every effort to look positively for achievement throughout the ability range. Always be prepared to use the full range of marks.

INFORMATION AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR EXAMINERS

- The co-ordination scripts provide you with *examples* of the standard of each band. The marks awarded for these scripts will have been agreed by the Team Leaders and will be discussed fully at the Examiners' Co-ordination Meeting.
- The specific task-related indicative content for each question will help you to understand how the band descriptors may be applied. However, this indicative content does not constitute the mark scheme: it is material that candidates might use, grouped according to each assessment objective tested by the question. Rigid demands for 'what must be a good answer' would lead to a distorted assessment.
- Candidates' answers must be relevant to the question. Beware of prepared answers that do not show the candidate's thought and which have not been adapted to the thrust of the question. Beware also of answers where candidates attempt to reproduce interpretations and concepts that they have been taught but have only partially understood.

	AO1: Demonstrate, organise and communicate knowledge and understanding to analyse and evaluate the key features related to the periods studied, making substantiated judgements and exploring concepts, as relevant, of cause, consequence, change, continuity, similarity, difference and significance.
	Generic mark scheme for Section A, Questions 1 and 2: Essay [30]
Level 5 25–30 marks	There is a mostly consistent focus on the question. Generally accurate and detailed knowledge and understanding is demonstrated through most of the answer and is evaluated and analysed in order to reach substantiated judgements, but these are not consistently well-developed. There is a well-developed line of reasoning which is clear and logically structured. The information presented is relevant and in the most part substantiated.
Level 4 19–24 marks	The question is generally addressed. Generally accurate and sometimes detailed knowledge and understanding is demonstrated through most of the answer with evaluation and some analysis, and this is used appropriately to support the judgements that are made. There is a line of reasoning presented with some structure. The information presented is in the most-part relevant and supported by some evidence.
Level 3 13–18 marks	The question is partially addressed. There is demonstration of some relevant knowledge and understanding, which is evaluated and analysed in parts of the answer, but in places knowledge is imparted rather than being used. The analysis is appropriately linked to the judgements made, though the way in which it supports the judgements may not always be made explicit. The information has some relevance and is presented with limited structure. The information is supported by limited evidence.
Level 2 7–12 marks	The focus is more on the topic than the specific demands of the question. Knowledge and understanding is limited and not well used, with only limited evaluation and analysis, which is only sometimes linked appropriately to the judgements made. The information has some relevance, but is communicated in an unstructured way. The information is supported by limited evidence and the relationship to the evidence may not be clear.
Level 1	The answer relates to the topic but not the specific question. The answer contains only very limited relevant knowledge which is
1–6 marks	evaluated and analysed in a very limited way. Judgements are unsupported and are not linked to analysis. Relevant knowledge is limited, generalised and poorly used; attempts at argument are no more than assertion. Information presented is basic and may be ambiguous or unstructured. The information is supported by limited evidence.
0 marks	No evidence of understanding and no demonstration of any relevant knowledge.

	AO3: Analyse and evaluate, in relation to the historical context, different ways in which aspects of the past have been interpreted.
	Generic mark scheme for Section B, Question 3: Interpretation [20]
Level 5 17–20 marks Level 4 13–16 marks	The answer has a very good analysis of the interpretation. It uses detailed and relevant knowledge of the historical context and shows thorough understanding of the wider historical debate, in the form of detailed examination of other interpretations, in order to produce a well-supported evaluation of both the strengths and weaknesses of the given interpretation. The answer has a good analysis of the interpretation. It uses relevant knowledge of the historical context and good understanding of the wider historical debate, in the form of examination of other interpretations, in order to produce a supported evaluation of both the strengths and weaknesses of the given interpretation.
Level 3 9–12 marks	The answer has a partial analysis of the interpretation. It uses some relevant knowledge of the historical context and shows partial understanding of the wider historical debate, in the form of reference to other interpretations, in order to evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of the given interpretation. The evaluation may be un-even with only limited treatment of either limitations or strengths, but both will be addressed.
Level 2 5–8 marks	The answer has a limited analysis of the interpretation. It uses generalised knowledge of the historical context and shows limited understanding of the wider historical debate, in the form of generalised reference to other interpretations, in order to produce a limited evaluation of the given interpretation. The evaluation may deal with either strengths or limitations in a very superficial way, or may only address limitations or strengths.
Level 1 1–4 marks	The answer has a very limited analysis of the interpretation which may be descriptive and relate more to the topic area than the detail of the interpretation. It uses very limited and generalised knowledge of the historical context and shows very limited or no understanding of the wider historical debate, with reference to other interpretations being implicit or lacking, in order to produce a very simplistic, asserted evaluation of the given interpretation.
0 marks	No evidence of understanding or reference to the interpretation.

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
1*	 Assess the consequences in Eastern Europe, outside the former Soviet Union, of the overthrow of communist governments after 1989. Candidates should discuss a number of consequences and may discuss short and longer-term consequences, or consequences in relation to specific states. Candidates might argue that economic and political chaos was certainly the most important immediate and short-term consequence of the overthrow of communist governments. Candidates might discuss that in Eastern Europe new democratic structures had to be created and societies needed to adjust to multi-party democratic systems whilst coping with the economic problems of adjustment to capitalism. Candidates might discuss that these economic problems pre—dated Soviet collapse, but certainly unemployment and dislocated trade were a feature in many states. Candidates might discuss that in Germany there were the problems associated with reunification. Candidates might argue that in the longer term there were other consequences associated with nationalism (in the break up of Czechoslovakia and Yugoslavia, for example). 	30	 No set answer is expected. At Level 5 there will be judgement as to the relative importance of the consequences. At level 5 answers might establish criteria against which to judge the relative importance of the consequences. To be valid, judgements must be supported by relevant and accurate material. If not, they are assertions. Knowledge must not be credited in isolation, it should only be credited where it is used as the basis for analysis and evaluation, in line with descriptions in the levels mark scheme.

Question	estion Answer		Guidance
	 Candidates might also argue that many states, such as the Czech Republic, have adjusted economically relatively rapidly and living standards are rising. Candidates might also point to the resilience and reinvention of the communist parties in the new states and the trend to authoritarian and nationalist regimes. Candidates might point to the closer links and economic and political cooperation with the West (request to join NATO and the EU). 		
2*	 How effectively did the Soviet Union deal with the threats to its authority in Eastern Europe in the 1950s and 1960s? Candidates are likely to assess effectiveness by focusing on outcomes in the shorter and longer term. Answers might consider the crises' in Hungary and Czechoslovakia, but many will range more widely to encompass East Germany, Berlin and Poland, for example. Answers might discuss the threats posed by nationalist and 'liberal' pressures and that they were more or less effectively dealt with, pointing to the clampdowns in Poland and Hungary, for example, in 1956. However, they may qualify this by suggesting that the USSR's freedom of action was widened by the refusal of the West to get involved, and by the limited means available to protesters in the face of the Red Army or, in Poland's case, state authorities. Answer might consider similar points in relation to the Czech crisis of 1968 and also point to the development of the Brezhney doctrine. 	30	 No set answer is expected. At Level 5 there will be judgement as to the relative effectiveness. At level 5 answers might establish criteria against which to judge the relative effectiveness. To be valid, judgements must be supported by relevant and accurate material. If not, they are assertions. Knowledge must not be credited in isolation, it should only be credited where it is used as the basis for analysis and evaluation, in line with descriptions in the levels mark scheme.

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance	
3	'The Cold War was not inevitable; yet it became a reality because of the innate needs of the Soviet Union and the United States.' From: M. McCauley <i>The Origins of the Cold War,</i> 1983.	20	 No set answer is expected. Candidates must use their knowledge and understanding of the historical context and the wider historical debate surrounding the issue to analyse and evaluate the given interpretation. 	
	Evaluate the strengths and limitations of this interpretation, making reference to other interpretations that you have studied.		 Candidates must refer to at least one other interpretation. The quality of analysis and evaluation of the interpretations should be considered when assigning answers to a level, not the quantity of other interpretations included in the answer. 	
	The historical debate about the origins of the Cold War has revolved around three main schools of thought: the traditionalists, the revisionists and the post-revisionists.		 Other interpretations considered as part of evaluation and analysis do not need to be attributed to specific named historians, but they must be recognisable historical interpretations, rather than the candidate's own viewpoint. 	
	In analysing and evaluating the strengths and limitations of the interpretation, answers might consider that either the United States or Soviet Union was responsible for the Cold War and that to a degree it was inevitable.		 Answers may include more on strengths or more on limitations and there is no requirement for a 50/50 split in the evaluation, however for level 5 there should be well-supported evaluation of both and for level 4 supported evaluation of both, in line with levels descriptors. Candidates are not required to construct their own 	

interpretation.

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
	 In analysing and evaluating the strengths of the given interpretation, answers might use knowledge and understanding of: the reactions of the United States and Soviet Union to the rise of Nazism the relative contributions of the United States and Soviet Union in the defeat of the Axis powers and the opportunities this provided Roosevelt's attitude towards the Soviet Union Stalin's attitude towards the United States the death of Roosevelt and the role of Truman the perceived ignorance of the Soviet Union of the way in which foreign policy was instigated in the United States the relative inexperience of the Soviet Union in international affairs the fear in the United States that the Soviet Union wanted to spread communism across the world. 		

Question		Answer	Marks	Guidance
	•	 In analysing the limitations of the given interpretation, answers might use knowledge and understanding: of Kennan's Long Telegram of February 1946 the hostility towards capitalism associated with the adoption by the Soviet Union of Marxism-Leninism Roosevelt's possible misjudgement of Soviet expansionist foreign policy the refusal of the Soviet Union to cooperate over European issues the economic policies of the United States including the Marshall Plan the Truman Doctrine of 1947 the establishment of NATO. Other interpretations that might be used in evaluation of the given interpretation are: interpretations focusing mostly on the role of the Soviet Union as instigators of the Cold War 		
		 interpretations focusing mostly on the role of the United States as instigators of the Cold War interpretations that have challenged the post- revisionist school of thought. 		

Assessment Objectives (AO) Grid

Question	AO1	AO2	AO3	Total
1/2	30			30
3			20	20
Totals	30		20	50

Summary of updates

Date	Version	Change
November 2020	0.16	Question 3 quotation redacted owing to copyright permissions.